

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE SRI MANOJ KUMAR TIWARI

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VIVEK BHARTI SHARMA

<u>WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. 170 OF 2024</u> <u>24TH OCTOBER, 2024</u>

Mahipal SinghPetitioner.

Versus

State of Uttarakhand & othersRespondents.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr. M.C. Pant, learned

counsel.

Counsel for the State : Mr. P.C. Bisht, learned

Additional Chief Standing

Counsel.

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4: Mr. C.K. Sharma and Mr.

B.S. Parihar, learned

counsels.

The Court made the following:

JUDGMENT: (per Hon'ble The Acting Chief Justice Sri Manoj Kumar Tiwari)

This writ petition has been filed in public interest seeking the following relief: -

"1. Issue writ, rule or direction in the nature of mandamus by directing the respondent no.1 to consider the representation of the petitioner in the light of the Government Order dated 23.04.2024 as contained to Annexure no.1 and also in the light of the recommendation of the Lyngdoh committee report which is annexed as Annexure No.2 and also to direct the respondents to defer any election process of student union for next year keeping in view the schedule of the examination w.e.f. 09.11.2024 to safeguard the interest of the students or to mold the relief appropriately keeping in view the fact highlighted in the body of the petition or to mold the relief appropriately."



- 2. Learned State Counsel submits that the State Government has taken a policy decision, which is enclosed as Annexure No.1 to the writ petition.
- 3. Perusal of Annexure No.1, which is Government Order dated 23.04.2024, indicates that deadline for conducting elections of students' union has been fixed as 30.09.2024. Learned State Counsel, thus, submits that if any University decides to hold students' union elections, such decision will be contrary to the policy decision taken by the State Government.
- 4. We, therefore, dispose of this writ petition by taking the statement made by learned State Counsel.
- 5. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.
- 6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(MANOJ KUMAR TIWARI, A.C.J.)

(VIVEK BHARTI SHARMA, J.)

Dated: 24th October, 2024

NISHANT

NISHANT KUMAR

Digitally ogened by Mathewith KELMARE
THE CASE OF THE CASE OF STREAMSHAND, OUR HEIGHT COURT OF
UTTANGENMEN,
13.4 TO AND STREAMSHAND, OUR HEIGHT COURT OF
WORLD THE COURT OF THE COURT OF THE COURT OF
WORLD THE COURT OF THE COURT